

ENERGY SPECTRA AND PASSIVE TRACER CASCADES IN TURBULENT FLOWS

M. S. JOLLY^{1,†} AND D. WIROSOETISNO²

ABSTRACT. We study the influence of the energy spectrum on the extent of the cascade range of a passive tracer in turbulent flows. The interesting cases are when there are two different spectra over the potential range of the tracer cascade (in 2D when the tracer forcing is in the inverse energy cascade range, and in 3D when the Schmidt number Sc is large). The extent of the tracer cascade range is then limited by the width of the range for the shallower of the two energy spectra. Nevertheless, we show that in dimension $d = 2, 3$ the tracer cascade range extends (up to a logarithm) to κ_{dD}^p , where κ_{dD} is the wavenumber beyond which diffusion should dominate and p is arbitrarily close to 1, provided Sc is larger than a certain power (depending on p) of the Grashof number. We also derive estimates which suggest that in 2D, for $Sc \sim 1$ a wide tracer cascade can coexist with a significant inverse energy cascade at Grashof numbers large enough to produce a turbulent flow.

1. INTRODUCTION

Passive tracers play an important role in the study of fluid motion. On the one hand, experimental and observational studies of fluid flows rely heavily on passive tracers to deduce the advecting velocity field. On the other hand, knowledge of the underlying fluid flows is essential to predict the future dispersion of tracers (particularly, but not exclusively, harmful ones).

It is natural to believe that if the advecting fluid flow is turbulent (however this is defined), the evolution of the tracer will be turbulent as well. Following the pioneering work by Kolmogorov, Obukhov [13] and Corrsin [4] argued that if the energy spectrum of the fluid is $\mathcal{E}(\kappa) = K\kappa^{-n}$, a passive tracer whose dissipation rate is χ should have the spectrum $\mathcal{T}(\kappa) \sim \chi K^{-1/2} \kappa^{(n-5)/2}$ between the injection and dissipation scales. Thus, in the inertial range in 3D, both the energy and tracer spectra scale as $\kappa^{-5/3}$. Following Kraichnan [12], in the direct enstrophy cascade range in 2D, the energy spectrum should scale as κ^{-3} , giving a κ^{-1} tracer spectrum. Although these scaling arguments were derived with little reference to the governing equations, they have been supported to a surprising extent by experimental and numerical works (cf. [7, 16]), primarily in 3D, slightly less so for 2D and still less so for tracers.

In 3D and 2D, respectively, dissipative effects are expected to dominate beyond the Kolmogorov and Kraichnan wavenumbers κ_ϵ and κ_η . The corresponding scales

Date: November 28, 2016.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35Q30, 76F02, 76F25.

Key words and phrases. Navier-Stokes equations, turbulence, enstrophy cascade.

This work was supported in part by NSF grant number DMS-1418911 and Leverhulme Trust grant VP1-2015-036.

for our tracer depend in addition on the Schmidt number Sc , i.e. the ratio of the viscosity to the tracer dissipativity. Another lengthscale of great importance is the Taylor microscale. Initially (and to this day among experimentalists) defined using the velocity correlation, mathematicians prefer to use an alternate definition for κ_τ in terms of the energy and its dissipation rate (2.12); the two definitions can be shown to be (nearly) equivalent under some assumptions. Assuming that κ_τ is much greater than the forcing scale, it has been proved rigorously that a direct energy cascade exists for solutions of 3D NSE [10]. Similarly, in 2D one defines in terms of the enstrophy and its dissipation rate a wavenumber κ_σ , which if sufficiently larger than the forcing scale rigorously implies the existence of direct enstrophy cascade [9]. In section 4.2, we derive an analogous result for tracers in terms of a corresponding wavenumber κ_θ .

While it is plausible that κ_τ , κ_σ and κ_θ are large for turbulent solutions of the NSE and the advected tracers, these remain unproved (directly from the NS and the tracer equations) to this day. If one were to assume the expected spectra, namely $\epsilon^{2/3}\kappa^{-5/3}$ and $\eta^{2/3}\kappa^{-3}$, however, it has been shown that $\kappa_\tau \sim \kappa_\epsilon^{2/3}\kappa_0^{1/3}$ in 3D [6] and $\kappa_\sigma \sim \kappa_\eta$ up to a logarithm in 2D [5]. Following this approach, we prove the tracer analogues in sections 5 and 6. There are a number of qualitatively distinct cases here, depending on the viscosity ν and tracer dissipativity μ , as well as on the injection scales of energy κ_f and of the tracer κ_g . When $\nu \gg \mu$, it is possible for κ_θ to asymptotically approach (up to constants and logarithms) its largest possible value, in that $\kappa_\theta \sim \kappa_{dD}^{1-r}\kappa_0^r$ for any $r < 1$, both for $d = 2$ (§5) and $d = 3$ (§6) in a periodic domain of volume $(2\pi/\kappa_0)^d$. When $\nu \sim \mu$, the situation is more complicated as discussed in detail below.

The rest of this paper is structured as follows. After some mathematical setups in Section 2, we recall the heuristic argument for the tracer spectra in Section 3. Earlier NSE estimates for the enstrophy and energy transfer rates in terms of κ_σ and κ_τ in 2D and 3D are gathered in Section 4, along with the implications that the expected energy spectra have on these wavenumbers, vis-à-vis κ_η , κ_ϵ , respectively. An analogous estimate for the tracer transfer rate in terms of κ_θ is also derived in Section 4. We treat 2D tracer flow in Section 5 and 3D tracer flow in Section 6.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We consider the evolution of a passive scalar θ under a prescribed velocity field $u(x, t)$ and a time-independent source $g = g(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \theta - \mu \Delta \theta + u \cdot \nabla \theta &= g \\ \int_{\Omega} \theta \, dx &= 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} g \, dx = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

with periodic boundary conditions in $\Omega = [0, L]^d$ for $d = 2, 3$. We focus on the case where u satisfies the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \nu \Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla) u + \nabla p &= F(t), \\ \nabla \cdot u &= 0 \\ \int_{\Omega} u \, dx &= 0, \quad \int_{\Omega} F \, dx = 0 \\ u(x, t_0) &= u_0(x). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

We write (2.2) as a differential equation in a certain Hilbert space H (see [2, 15]),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}u(t) + \nu Au(t) + B(u(t), u(t)) &= f(t), \\ u(t) \in H, \quad t \geq t_0 \quad \text{and} \quad u(t_0) &= u_0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

The phase space H is the closure in $L^2(\Omega)^d$ of all \mathbb{R}^2 -valued trigonometric polynomials u such that

$$\nabla \cdot u = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} u(x) \, dx = 0.$$

The bilinear operator B is defined as

$$B(u, v) = \mathcal{P}((u \cdot \nabla)v),$$

where \mathcal{P} is the Helmholtz–Leray orthogonal projector of $L^2(\Omega)^d$ onto H and $f = \mathcal{P}F$. The scalar product in H is taken to be

$$(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} u(x) \cdot v(x) \, dx,$$

with the associated norm

$$|u| = (u, u)^{1/2} = \left(\int_{\Omega} u(x) \cdot u(x) \, dx \right)^{1/2}. \quad (2.4)$$

The operator $A = -\Delta$ is self-adjoint, and its eigenvalues are of the form

$$(2\pi/L)^2 k \cdot k \quad \text{where } k \in \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus \{0\}.$$

We denote these eigenvalues by

$$0 < \lambda_0 = (2\pi/L)^2 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$$

arranged in non-decreasing order (counting multiplicities) and write w_0, w_1, w_2, \dots , for the corresponding normalized eigenvectors (i.e. $|w_j| = 1$ and $Aw_j = \lambda_j w_j$ for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$).

For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, the positive roots of A are defined by linearity from

$$A^\alpha w_j = \lambda_j^\alpha w_j, \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

on the domain

$$D(A^\alpha) = \left\{ u \in H : \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j^{2\alpha} (u, w_j)^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

We take the natural norm on $V = D(A^{1/2})$ to be

$$\|u\| = |A^{1/2}u| = \left(\int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} u(x) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} u(x) \, dx \right)^{1/2} = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j (u, w_j)^2 \right)^{1/2}. \quad (2.5)$$

Since the boundary conditions are periodic, we may express an element in H as a Fourier series

$$u(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \hat{u}_k e^{i\kappa_0 k \cdot x}, \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$\kappa_0 = \lambda_0^{1/2} = \frac{2\pi}{L}, \quad \hat{u}_0 = 0, \quad \hat{u}_k^* = \hat{u}_{-k} \quad (2.7)$$

and due to incompressibility, $k \cdot \hat{u}_k = 0$. We associate to each term in (2.6) a *wavenumber* $\kappa_0|k|$. Parseval's identity reads as

$$|u|^2 = L^d \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \hat{u}_k \cdot \hat{u}_{-k} = L^d \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\hat{u}_k|^2.$$

Two important dimensionless parameters are the Grashof and Schmidt numbers,

$$G := \frac{|f|}{\nu^2 \kappa_0^{3-d/2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Sc} := \frac{\nu}{\mu}. \quad (2.8)$$

The former indicates the complexity of the (velocity) flow, and the latter the importance of (momentum) viscosity relative to tracer dissipativity.

We define the average *energy*, *enstrophy* and *tracer variance*

$$\mathbf{e} = \frac{1}{L^d} \langle |u|^2 \rangle, \quad \mathbf{E} = \frac{1}{L^d} \langle \|u\|^2 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{L^d} \langle |\theta|^2 \rangle, \quad (2.9)$$

as well as their dissipation (diffusion) rates

$$\epsilon := \frac{\nu}{L^d} \langle \|u\|^2 \rangle, \quad \eta := \frac{\nu}{L^d} \langle |Au|^2 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \chi := \frac{\mu}{L^d} \langle |\nabla \theta|^2 \rangle. \quad (2.10)$$

By the classical dimensional arguments, the dissipation range is expected to start at

$$\kappa_\epsilon = \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\nu^3} \right)^{1/4} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_\eta = \left(\frac{\eta}{\nu^3} \right)^{1/6}, \quad (2.11)$$

in 3D and 2D respectively; these are sometimes known as the Kolmogorov and Kraichnan wavenumbers. Their analogues for the tracer cascade are more complicated and depend on the advecting velocity; see κ_{2D} and κ_{3D} in §5–§6 below. Another set of important wavenumbers are

$$\kappa_\tau^2 := \frac{\langle \|u\|^2 \rangle}{\langle |u|^2 \rangle}, \quad \kappa_\sigma^2 := \frac{\langle |\Delta u|^2 \rangle}{\langle \|u\|^2 \rangle} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_\theta^2 := \frac{\langle \|\theta\|^2 \rangle}{\langle |\theta|^2 \rangle}. \quad (2.12)$$

In 3D turbulence, κ_τ is closely related to the Taylor wavenumber, the scale at which the velocity correlation is lost; it has been shown that direct energy cascade takes place within the range $(\bar{\kappa}, \kappa_\tau)$. Its analogues in 2D and tracer turbulence are κ_σ and κ_θ , with corresponding results on enstrophy [9] and tracer [(4.21) below] cascades.

We make use of the following notation: $a \lesssim b$ means $a \leq cb$ for a nondimensional universal constant c , independent of G and Sc , *under the condition that* $G \geq G_*$ where G_* may be different for each inequality, and similarly for \gtrsim . By $a \sim b$ we mean that both $a \lesssim b$ and $b \lesssim a$ hold. We write $a \ll b$ if $a/b < \delta$ for some small $\delta \in (0, 1)$, and a/b is nondimensional provided the ranges of a, b are a priori specified (e.g., for large values of a, b). The value of δ shall remain unspecified, and may vary from one statement involving \ll to the next.

Since the infinite time limit is not known to exist, for each solution $u(t)$ of the 2D NSE (Leray–Hopf weak solution in the 3D case) we work with the average

$$\langle \Phi \rangle = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \Phi(u(t)) dt \quad \text{for any } \Phi \text{ weakly continuous in } H,$$

where Lim is a Hahn–Banach extension of the classical time limit. The average $\langle \cdot \rangle$ is the mathematical equivalent of the ensemble average in the statistical theory of turbulence. See [9, 10] for more details.

3. INFLUENCE OF ENERGY SPECTRUM

3.1. Classical theory. We recall briefly from [16, Ch. 8] some elements of the Kolmogorov–Obukhov theory for 3D turbulence in a form suitable for its extension to passive tracers. Suppose that a parcel (“eddy”) of size $1/\kappa$ has velocity $U_\kappa \sim [\kappa \mathcal{E}(\kappa)]^{1/2}$. Assuming that such an eddy breaks up in the time τ_κ it takes to travel its own size, i.e.

$$\tau_\kappa U_\kappa = 1/\kappa \quad \text{so that} \quad \tau_\kappa \sim [\kappa^3 \mathcal{E}(\kappa)]^{-1/2}, \quad (3.1)$$

the resulting downscale energy transfer rate is

$$\frac{U_\kappa^2}{\tau_\kappa} \sim \frac{\kappa \mathcal{E}(\kappa)}{\tau_\kappa}. \quad (3.2)$$

Assuming that this transfer rate is a constant ϵ for κ in the so-called inertial range and solving for \mathcal{E} , we arrive at the Kolmogorov spectrum,

$$\mathcal{E}_{3D}(\kappa) \sim \epsilon^{2/3} \kappa^{-5/3}. \quad (3.3)$$

The situation in 2D is more complicated in that, for scales smaller than the forcing, we expect the enstrophy to undergo a direct cascade to smaller scales, while energy is mainly transferred to larger scales in an inverse cascade for scales larger than the forcing. Yet a similar dimensional argument in the enstrophy inertial range leads to the Kraichnan spectrum

$$\mathcal{E}_{2D}(\kappa) \sim \eta^{2/3} \kappa^{-3}. \quad (3.4)$$

An analogous cascade mechanism for the tracer suggests a connection between its spectrum $\mathcal{T}(\kappa)$ and the energy spectrum. Taking the amount of tracer (variance) at wavenumber κ to be $\kappa \mathcal{T}(\kappa)$, assuming that it is transferred to wavenumber 2κ by the advecting velocity over a time τ_κ given by (3.1), and setting the transfer rate to a constant χ , we find

$$\chi \sim \frac{\kappa \mathcal{T}(\kappa)}{\tau_\kappa}. \quad (3.5)$$

If we take $\mathcal{E}(\kappa) \sim K \kappa^{-n}$ in (3.1) and solve for \mathcal{T} in (3.5), we have

$$\mathcal{T}(\kappa) \sim \chi K^{-1/2} \kappa^{(n-5)/2}. \quad (3.6)$$

3.2. Mathematical formulation. These spectral relations can be reformulated in terms of partial sums

$$\mathbf{e}_{\kappa, 2\kappa} := \frac{1}{L^d} \sum_{\kappa \leq \kappa_0 |k| < 2\kappa} \langle |\hat{u}_k|^2 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \vartheta_{\kappa, 2\kappa} := \frac{1}{L^d} \sum_{\kappa \leq \kappa_0 |k| < 2\kappa} \langle |\hat{\theta}_k|^2 \rangle. \quad (3.7)$$

As L increases (so κ_0 decreases), each quantity in (3.7) can be viewed as a Riemann sum approximation of the integral of the corresponding spectrum (this assumes smoothness of the summands, but below we will use this approximation only for explicit functions of κ). For instance, for the energy in 3D, we have

$$\int_{\kappa}^{2\kappa} \mathcal{E}_{3D}(\tilde{\kappa}) d\tilde{\kappa} \sim \int_{\kappa}^{2\kappa} \epsilon^{2/3} \tilde{\kappa}^{-5/3} d\tilde{\kappa} = \frac{3}{2} \epsilon^{2/3} (1 - 2^{-2/3}) \kappa^{-2/3} \sim \epsilon^{2/3} \kappa^{-2/3}.$$

This leads to the energy power law

$$\mathbf{e}_{\kappa, 2\kappa} \sim \epsilon^{2/3} \kappa^{-2/3} \quad \text{in 3D} \quad (3.8)$$

and similarly

$$\mathbf{e}_{\kappa, 2\kappa} \sim \eta^{2/3} \kappa^{-2} \quad \text{in 2D}. \quad (3.9)$$

dir.	d	$\mathcal{E}(\kappa)$	e_κ	$\mathcal{T}(\kappa)$	ϑ_κ
fwd	3	$\epsilon^{2/3} \kappa^{-5/3}$	$\epsilon^{2/3} \kappa^{-2/3}$	$\chi \epsilon^{-1/3} \kappa^{-5/3}$	$\chi \epsilon^{-1/3} \kappa^{-2/3}$
fwd	2	$\eta^{2/3} \kappa^{-3}$	$\eta^{2/3} \kappa^{-2}$	$\chi \eta^{-1/3} \kappa^{-1}$	$\chi \eta^{-1/3}$
bkwd	2	$\epsilon^{2/3} \kappa^{-5/3}$	$\epsilon^{2/3} \kappa^{-2/3}$	$\chi \epsilon^{-1/3} \kappa^{-5/3}$	$\chi \epsilon^{-1/3} \kappa^{-2/3}$

TABLE 1. Spectra according to classical theory

We gather the expected spectra according to classical theory in Table 1.

We conclude this section with a brief calculation regarding the summation of the tracer variance over the relevant wavenumber range assuming that a certain power law holds. It will be used repeatedly.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose $\vartheta_{\kappa,2\kappa} \sim \alpha \kappa^{-p}$ for $\kappa_1 \leq \kappa \leq \kappa_2$, with $4\kappa_1 \leq \kappa_2$ and $p \geq 0$. Then*

$$\vartheta_{\kappa_1, \kappa_2} \sim \begin{cases} \alpha (\kappa_1^{-p} - \kappa_2^{-p}) & \text{if } p > 0, \\ \alpha \ln(\kappa_2/\kappa_1) & \text{if } p = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.10a)$$

$$(3.10b)$$

Proof. As in [5, 6], let $J = \lfloor \log_2(\kappa_2/\kappa_1) \rfloor - 1$. If $p > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_{\kappa_1, \kappa_2} &\sim \sum_{\kappa=2^j \kappa_1, j=0}^J \vartheta_{\kappa, 2\kappa} \sim \frac{\alpha}{\kappa_1^p} \sum_{j=0}^J (2^p)^{-j} = \frac{\alpha}{\kappa_1^p} \frac{1}{1-2^{-p}} [1 - (2^{-J})^{-p}] \\ &\sim \frac{\alpha}{\kappa_1^p} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_2} \right)^p \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If $p = 0$,

$$\vartheta_{\kappa_1, \kappa_2} \sim \alpha \sum_{j=0}^J 1 = \alpha \log_2(\kappa_2/\kappa_1) \sim \alpha \ln(\kappa_2/\kappa_1).$$

□

4. INDICATORS FOR CASCADES

Returning to the Navier–Stokes (2.3) and tracer equations (2.1), we henceforth assume that the forcing functions F and g are spectrally-bounded, i.e. there exist $\kappa_0 < \kappa_g < \infty$ and $\kappa_0 \leq \underline{\kappa} \leq \bar{\kappa} < \infty$ such that

$$g = g_{\kappa_0, \kappa_g} \quad \text{and} \quad f = f_{\underline{\kappa}, \bar{\kappa}}. \quad (4.1)$$

Given a fixed κ , we define

$$u^< := u_{\kappa_0, \kappa}, \quad u^> := u_{\kappa, \infty} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta^< := \theta_{\kappa_0, \kappa}, \quad \theta^> := \theta_{\kappa, \infty}. \quad (4.2)$$

4.1. Navier–Stokes equations. We start by giving sufficient conditions for enstrophy and energy cascades. In terms of the solution of the 2D NSE, the *net rate of enstrophy transfer (flux)* is given by $\mathfrak{E}_\kappa = \mathfrak{E}_\kappa^\rightarrow - \mathfrak{E}_\kappa^\leftarrow$ where

$$\mathfrak{E}_\kappa^\rightarrow(u) = -\frac{1}{L^2} (B(u^<, u^<), Au^>) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{E}_\kappa^\leftarrow(u) = -\frac{1}{L^2} (B(u^>, u^>), Au^<).$$

are the *rates of enstrophy transfer* (low to high) and (high to low), respectively. It was shown in [9] that

$$1 - \left(\frac{\kappa}{\kappa_\sigma}\right)^2 \leq \frac{\langle \mathfrak{E}_\kappa \rangle}{\eta} \leq 1 \quad \text{if} \quad \bar{\kappa} \leq \kappa \leq \kappa_\sigma. \quad (4.3)$$

It follows that if

$$\kappa_\sigma \gg \bar{\kappa}, \quad (4.4)$$

then there exists an *enstrophy cascade*:

$$\langle \mathfrak{E}_\kappa \rangle \approx \eta \quad \text{for} \quad \bar{\kappa} \leq \kappa \ll \kappa_\sigma. \quad (4.5)$$

Similarly, in both 2D and 3D (using Leray–Hopf solutions for the latter) the transfer of energy $\mathfrak{e}_\kappa = \mathfrak{e}_\kappa^\rightarrow - \mathfrak{e}_\kappa^\leftarrow$ is shown in [9, 10] to satisfy

$$1 - \left(\frac{\kappa}{\kappa_\tau}\right)^2 \leq \frac{\langle \mathfrak{e}_\kappa \rangle}{\epsilon} \leq 1 \quad \text{for} \quad \bar{\kappa} \leq \kappa \leq \kappa_\tau, \quad (4.6)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{e}_\kappa^\rightarrow(u) = -\frac{1}{L^d} (B(u^<, u^<), u^>) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{e}_\kappa^\leftarrow(u) = -\frac{1}{L^d} (B(u^>, u^>), u^<).$$

Thus if

$$\kappa_\tau \gg \bar{\kappa} \quad (4.7)$$

there is a direct energy cascade:

$$\langle \mathfrak{e}_\kappa \rangle \approx \epsilon \quad \text{for} \quad \bar{\kappa} \leq \kappa \ll \kappa_\tau. \quad (4.8)$$

It is easy to show that $\kappa_\tau \leq \kappa_\sigma$, which is consistent with the expectation that for a 2D flow a direct enstrophy cascade be more pronounced than a direct energy cascade.

We note a couple of useful bounds for κ_η and κ_ϵ . For the 2D NSE (regardless of whether the flow is turbulent), it was shown in [11] that

$$G^{1/6} \lesssim \kappa_\eta / \kappa_0 \leq G^{1/3}. \quad (4.9)$$

While for the 3D NSE, [6] showed that

$$(\kappa_0 / \bar{\kappa})^{5/8} G^{1/4} \lesssim \frac{\kappa_\epsilon}{\kappa_0} \quad (4.10)$$

As noted in the introduction, at present we do not have independent lower bounds on κ_σ and κ_τ (other than the trivial, and useless, ones).

If one assumes the power spectrum (which *a priori* says nothing about energy transfer rates), however, one does obtain lower bounds on κ_σ and κ_η , or equivalently by (4.4) and (4.7), sufficient conditions for the enstrophy and energy cascades. In 2D we have the following estimate from [5].

Theorem 4.1. *If for the 2D NSE we have*

$$\mathfrak{e}_{\kappa, 2\kappa} \sim \eta^{2/3} \kappa^{-2} \quad \text{for} \quad \kappa_i \leq \kappa \leq \kappa_\eta \quad (4.11)$$

with $4\kappa_i \leq \kappa_\eta$ and

$$\langle \|u_{\kappa_0, \kappa_i}\|^2 \rangle \lesssim \langle \|u_{\kappa_i, \infty}\|^2 \rangle, \quad (4.12)$$

then

$$\kappa_\sigma^2 \sim \kappa_\eta^2 / \ln(\kappa_\eta / \kappa_i). \quad (4.13)$$

The wavenumber κ_i marks the start of the inertial range. Based on (4.3) and (4.6), we expect that $\kappa_i \sim \bar{\kappa}$.

Thanks to (4.9), the dissipation wavenumber κ_η can be controlled by the Grashof number. Thus, under (4.11), κ_σ can indeed be made large by increasing G . It is shown in [8] that if conversely (4.13) holds, then one side of the power law holds (up to a log)

$$\mathbf{e}_{\kappa, 2\kappa} \lesssim \eta^{2/3} \kappa^{-2} \ln(\kappa_\eta / \kappa_i) \quad \text{for} \quad \kappa_i \leq \kappa \leq \kappa_\eta. \quad (4.14)$$

Moreover, under (4.13) it is shown in [5] that (4.9) is sharpened to

$$\left(\frac{\kappa_0}{\bar{\kappa}}\right)^{1/4} \frac{G^{1/4}}{(\ln G)^{1/4}} \lesssim \frac{\kappa_\eta}{\kappa_0} \lesssim \left(\frac{\bar{\kappa}}{\kappa_0}\right)^{1/4} G^{1/4} (\ln G)^{1/8} \quad (4.15)$$

The following 3D analogue of Proposition 4.1 is proved in [6].

Theorem 4.2. *If for a Leray–Hopf solution to the 3D NSE, we have*

$$\mathbf{e}_{\kappa, 2\kappa} \sim \epsilon^{2/3} \kappa^{-2/3} \quad \text{for} \quad \bar{\kappa} \leq \kappa \leq \kappa_\epsilon$$

with $4\bar{\kappa} \leq \kappa_\epsilon$ and

$$\langle |u|^2 \rangle \sim \langle |u_{\bar{\kappa}, \kappa_\epsilon}|^2 \rangle,$$

then

$$\kappa_\tau^3 \sim \kappa_\epsilon^2 \bar{\kappa}. \quad (4.16)$$

Assuming (4.16), the bound (4.10) can be sharpened to

$$\left(\frac{\kappa_0}{\bar{\kappa}}\right)^{11/16} G^{3/8} \lesssim \frac{\kappa_\epsilon}{\kappa_0} \lesssim \left(\frac{\kappa_0}{\bar{\kappa}}\right)^{1/8} G^{3/8}, \quad \forall G \gtrsim \left(\frac{\bar{\kappa}}{\kappa_0}\right)^{3/2}. \quad (4.17)$$

The powers in (4.13) and (4.16) are suggestive of the extent to which the corresponding fluxes are constant over a given range, or alternatively, the width of the inertial range in each case.

4.2. Passive tracer. A condition for a cascade of the tracer is derived just as those for the NSE. Let κ and κ_g be fixed with $\kappa > \kappa_g$. Multiply (2.1) by $\theta^>$ in L^2 to get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |\theta^>|^2 + \mu |\nabla \theta^>|^2 &= -(u \cdot \nabla \theta^<, \theta^>) + (g^>, \theta^>) \\ &= -(u^< \cdot \nabla \theta^<, \theta^>) + (u^> \cdot \nabla \theta^>, \theta^<) + (g^>, \theta^>) \\ &= L^d \Theta_\kappa + (g^>, \theta^>) \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

where

$$\Theta_\kappa := \frac{1}{L^d} [-(u^< \cdot \nabla \theta^<, \theta^>) + (u^> \cdot \nabla \theta^>, \theta^<)]$$

is the downscale (i.e. towards larger $|k|$) flux of θ through wavenumber κ . Now $g^> = 0$ since $\kappa > \kappa_g$, so upon taking average, the time derivative disappears and we get

$$\mu \langle |\nabla \theta^>|^2 \rangle = \langle \Theta_\kappa \rangle. \quad (4.19)$$

The tracer “energy” cascade mechanism requires that $\langle \Theta_\kappa \rangle$ is (nearly) constant for $\kappa \in [\kappa_*, \kappa^*] \subset [\bar{\kappa}, \kappa_\theta]$. Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi \geq \langle \Theta_\kappa \rangle &= \frac{\mu}{L^d} \langle |\nabla \theta|^2 \rangle = \frac{\mu}{L^d} \langle |\nabla \theta|^2 \rangle - \frac{\mu}{L^d} \langle |\nabla \theta^<|^2 \rangle \\ &= \chi - \kappa^2 \frac{\mu}{L^d} \langle |\theta^<|^2 \rangle \geq \chi - \kappa^2 \frac{\mu}{L^d} \langle |\theta|^2 \rangle \\ &= \chi - \frac{\kappa^2}{\kappa_\theta^2} \frac{\mu}{L^d} \langle |\nabla \theta|^2 \rangle = \chi \left[1 - \left(\frac{\kappa}{\kappa_\theta} \right)^2 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

we obtain the tracer analogue of (4.3) and (4.6),

$$1 - \left(\frac{\kappa}{\kappa_\theta} \right)^2 \leq \frac{\langle \Theta_\kappa \rangle}{\chi} \leq 1 \quad \text{for} \quad \kappa_g \leq \kappa \leq \kappa_\theta. \quad (4.21)$$

The relations (4.3), (4.6) and (4.21) all imply cascades (more precisely, constancy of fluxes) provided that the indicator wavenumbers κ_σ , κ_τ and κ_θ are sufficiently large. Criteria on the forcing f and g that would give these conditions, directly from the NSE without further assumptions, so far remain elusive.

5. 2D CASE, EFFECT OF ENERGY SPECTRUM ON κ_θ

In this section, we prove tracer analogues of Proposition 4.1, relating the indicator wavenumber κ_θ to κ_η . The interesting cases are where there are two spectra for the tracer, which in 2D is expected when the injection wavenumbers for tracer are below those for the fluid.




FIGURE 1. Expected tracer spectra for the case of inverse cascade with large Schmidt number.

5.1. Large Schmidt number. For large Schmidt number μ/ν , there is a range $[\kappa_\eta, \kappa_{2D}]$ where the tracer is advected by a viscous fluid flow. According to the classical theory [16, pp. 367–9], here we expect a κ^{-1} tracer spectrum: First, the time scale for this range is determined by substituting κ_η into (3.1), which gives

$$\tau_{\kappa_\eta} = \eta^{-1/3}. \quad (5.1)$$

One then sets τ_{κ_η} equal to the diffusive time scale $(\mu\kappa^2)^{-1}$ to find

$$\kappa_{2D} := (\eta/\mu^3)^{1/6} = \text{Sc}^{1/2} \kappa_\eta. \quad (5.2)$$

Using (5.1) in (3.5), and solving for $\mathcal{T}(\kappa)$ gives the same tracer spectrum as for $\bar{\kappa} \leq \kappa \leq \kappa_\eta$,

$$\mathcal{T}(\kappa) \sim \chi \eta^{-1/3} \kappa^{-1} \quad \text{for} \quad \bar{\kappa} \leq \kappa \leq \kappa_{2D}. \quad (5.3)$$

Assuming power laws corresponding to the tracer spectra, we relate κ_θ to κ_η and show that asymptotically $\kappa_\theta \sim \kappa_{2D}$ for large Sc :

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose that $\kappa_g < \underline{\kappa}$ holds along with*

$$\kappa_\sigma^2 \sim \kappa_\eta^2 / \ln(\kappa_\eta / \bar{\kappa}), \quad (5.4)$$

$$\langle |\theta|^2 \rangle \sim \langle |\theta_{\kappa_g, \underline{\kappa}}|^2 \rangle + \langle |\theta_{\bar{\kappa}, \kappa_{2D}}|^2 \rangle \quad (5.5)$$

and

$$\vartheta_{\kappa, 2\kappa} \sim \begin{cases} \chi \epsilon^{-1/3} \kappa^{-2/3} & \text{for } \kappa_g \leq \kappa \leq \underline{\kappa} \\ \chi \eta^{-1/3} & \text{for } \bar{\kappa} \leq \kappa \lesssim \kappa_{2D} \end{cases} \quad (5.6a)$$

$$(5.6b)$$

We then have

$$\kappa_\theta^2 \sim \frac{1}{a+b}, \quad (5.7)$$

where

$$a = \kappa_{2D}^{-4/3} \text{Sc}^{-1/3} (\kappa_g^{-2/3} - \underline{\kappa}^{-2/3}) \ln(\kappa_\eta / \bar{\kappa})^{-1/3} \quad \text{and} \quad b = \kappa_{2D}^{-2} \ln(\kappa_{2D} / \bar{\kappa}).$$

If, moreover,

$$\kappa_g \sim \kappa_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\kappa} \sim \bar{\kappa} \quad (5.8)$$

along with, for any $r \in [4/3, 2)$,

$$\text{Sc} \gtrsim (G \bar{\kappa} / \kappa_0)^{(3r-4)/(12-6r)} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\kappa} / \kappa_0 \leq (G (\ln G)^{1/2} / e)^{1/5}, \quad (5.9)$$

we have

$$\kappa_\theta^2 \sim \kappa_{2D}^r \kappa_0^{2-r} / \ln(\kappa_{2D} / \bar{\kappa}). \quad (5.10)$$

Proof. First we estimate over the inverse cascade as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_{\kappa_g, \underline{\kappa}} &\sim \frac{\chi}{\mu} \left(\frac{\mu^3}{\epsilon} \right)^{1/3} (\kappa_g^{-2/3} - \underline{\kappa}^{-2/3}) && \text{by (3.10a), (5.2)} \\ &= \frac{\chi}{\mu} \kappa_{2D}^{-2} \kappa_\sigma^{2/3} (\kappa_g^{-2/3} - \underline{\kappa}^{-2/3}) \\ &\sim \frac{\chi}{\mu} \kappa_{2D}^{-2} \kappa_\eta^{2/3} (\kappa_g^{-2/3} - \underline{\kappa}^{-2/3}) \ln(\kappa_\eta / \bar{\kappa})^{-1/3} && \text{by (5.4)} \\ &= \frac{\chi}{\mu} \kappa_{2D}^{-4/3} \text{Sc}^{-1/3} (\kappa_g^{-2/3} - \underline{\kappa}^{-2/3}) \ln(\kappa_\eta / \bar{\kappa})^{-1/3} && \text{by (5.2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then over the range beyond $\bar{\kappa}$ we find

$$\vartheta_{\bar{\kappa}, \kappa_{2D}} \sim \frac{\chi}{\mu \kappa_{2D}^2} \ln(\kappa_{2D} / \bar{\kappa}). \quad (5.11)$$

It follows from (5.5) that

$$\vartheta_{\kappa_0, \infty} \sim \vartheta_{\kappa_g, \underline{\kappa}} + \vartheta_{\bar{\kappa}, \kappa_{2D}} \sim \frac{\chi}{\mu} (a+b) \quad (5.12)$$

and hence

$$\kappa_\theta^2 = \frac{(\mu/L^2) \langle |\nabla \theta|^2 \rangle}{(\mu/L^2) \langle |\theta|^2 \rangle} = \frac{\chi}{\mu \vartheta_{\kappa_0, \infty}} \sim \frac{1}{a+b}. \quad (5.13)$$

From (4.15) we have

$$\left(\frac{\kappa_0}{\bar{\kappa}} \right)^{5/4} \frac{G^{1/4}}{(\ln G)^{1/4}} \lesssim \frac{\kappa_\eta}{\bar{\kappa}} \lesssim \left(\frac{\kappa_0}{\bar{\kappa}} \right)^{3/4} G^{1/4} (\ln G)^{1/8}. \quad (5.14)$$

For the second part of the theorem, we seek to majorise a as

$$a \lesssim \kappa_{2D}^{-r} \kappa_0^{r-2} \ln(\kappa_{2D} / \bar{\kappa}), \quad (5.15)$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left(\frac{\kappa_{2D}}{\kappa_0}\right)^{r-4/3} \left[\left(\frac{\kappa_g}{\kappa_0}\right)^{-2/3} - \left(\frac{\underline{\kappa}}{\kappa_0}\right)^{-2/3} \right] \lesssim \text{Sc}^{1/3} \left(\ln \frac{\kappa_\eta}{\bar{\kappa}}\right)^{1/3} \ln \left(\frac{\kappa_\eta}{\bar{\kappa}} \text{Sc}^{1/2}\right).$$

Since $\underline{\kappa} > \kappa_g$ and $\kappa_g \sim \kappa_0$ (but $\kappa_g > \kappa_0$), we have by (5.8)

$$(\kappa_g/\kappa_0)^{-2/3} - (\underline{\kappa}/\kappa_0)^{-2/3} \sim (\kappa_g/\kappa_0)^{-2/3} \sim 1,$$

so the last inequality is in turn equivalent to

$$(\kappa_\eta/\kappa_0)^{r-4/3} \lesssim \text{Sc}^{1-r/2} \left(\ln \frac{\kappa_\eta}{\bar{\kappa}}\right)^{1/3} \left(\ln \frac{\kappa_\eta}{\bar{\kappa}} + \ln \text{Sc}\right). \quad (5.16)$$

From the upper bound in (5.14) we have, with $\zeta := \bar{\kappa}/\kappa_0$,

$$\kappa_\eta/\kappa_0 \lesssim (\zeta G)^{1/4} (\ln G)^{1/8}. \quad (5.17)$$

Using this to bound the left-hand side of (5.16), we have

$$(\kappa_\eta/\kappa_0)^{r-4/3} \lesssim (\zeta G)^{r/4-1/3} (\ln G)^{r/8-1/6}. \quad (5.18)$$

Now the lower bound in (5.14) is

$$\zeta^{-5/4} (G/\ln G)^{1/4} \lesssim \kappa_\eta/\bar{\kappa},$$

which we then apply to the right-hand side of (5.16) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\ln \frac{\kappa_\eta}{\bar{\kappa}}\right)^{1/3} \left(\ln \frac{\kappa_\eta}{\bar{\kappa}} + \ln \text{Sc}\right) &\gtrsim \ln \left(\frac{G}{\zeta^5 \ln G}\right)^{1/3} \left[\ln \left(\frac{G}{\zeta^5 \ln G}\right) + \ln \text{Sc}\right] \\ &\gtrsim \ln \left(\frac{G}{\zeta^5 \ln G}\right)^{4/3}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting this together with (5.18), we find that (5.16) is implied by

$$(\zeta G (\ln G)^{1/2})^{r/4-1/3} \lesssim \text{Sc}^{1-r/2} \ln \left(\frac{G}{\zeta^5 \ln G}\right)^{4/3}. \quad (5.19)$$

Now for $G \geq 1$ we have

$$(G (\ln G)^{1/2})^{1/2} \leq G/\ln G,$$

so assuming this and writing $\gamma := G (\ln G)^{1/2}$, (5.19) is implied by

$$(\zeta \gamma)^{r/4-1/3} \lesssim \text{Sc}^{1-r/2} \ln(\zeta^{-5} \gamma)^{4/3}. \quad (5.20)$$

With the extra assumption $\gamma \zeta^{-5} \geq e$, we see that (5.9) implies (5.10). \square

5.2. Moderate Schmidt number. For moderate Schmidt numbers, i.e. $\nu/\mu \sim 1$, we expect $\kappa_{2D} \sim \kappa_\eta$. In the simplest case, with $\kappa_g = \bar{\kappa}$ and $\kappa_\sigma^2 \sim \kappa_\eta^2/\ln(\kappa_\eta/\bar{\kappa})$, the tracer cascade occurs in the enstrophy cascade range, viz.

$$\langle |\theta|^2 \rangle \sim \langle |\theta_{\bar{\kappa}, \kappa_\eta}|^2 \rangle, \quad (5.21)$$

$$\vartheta_{\kappa, 2\kappa} \sim \chi \eta^{-1/3} \quad \text{for } \bar{\kappa} \leq \kappa \lesssim \kappa_\eta. \quad (5.22)$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_{\bar{\kappa}, \kappa_\eta} &\sim \chi \eta^{-1/3} \ln(\kappa_\eta/\bar{\kappa}) && \text{by (5.22) and (3.10b)} \\ &= \frac{\chi}{\mu} \left(\frac{\mu^3}{\eta}\right)^{1/3} \ln(\kappa_\eta/\bar{\kappa}) && = \frac{\chi}{\mu \kappa_\eta^2} \ln(\kappa_\eta/\bar{\kappa}), \end{aligned}$$

which by (5.21) implies

$$\vartheta_{\kappa_0, \infty} \sim \vartheta_{\kappa_g, \kappa_\eta} \sim \frac{\chi}{\mu \kappa_\eta^2} \ln(\kappa_\eta / \bar{\kappa}). \quad (5.23)$$

Thus, $\kappa_\theta \sim \kappa_\eta$ up to logarithm,

$$\kappa_\theta^2 = \frac{\langle |\nabla \theta|^2 \rangle}{\langle |\theta|^2 \rangle} = \frac{\chi}{\mu \vartheta_{\kappa_0, \infty}} \sim \kappa_\eta^2 / \ln(\kappa_\eta / \bar{\kappa}) \sim \kappa_{2D}^2 / \ln(\kappa_{2D} / \bar{\kappa}). \quad (5.24)$$

If the energy injection scale is small compared to the tracer injection scale, i.e. $\kappa_g \ll \bar{\kappa}$, we expect to have two tracer cascade ranges (both downscale). In the gap between κ_g and $\bar{\kappa}$, the energy spectrum is expected to take the form $\mathcal{E}(\kappa) \sim \epsilon^{2/3} \kappa^{-5/3}$, so that the tracer spectrum should be $\mathcal{T}(\kappa) \sim \chi \epsilon^{-1/3} \kappa^{-5/3}$. On the other hand, in the enstrophy cascade range $\bar{\kappa} \leq \kappa \lesssim \kappa_\eta$, we expect $\mathcal{E}(\kappa) \sim \eta^{2/3} \kappa^{-3}$, so that $\mathcal{T}(\kappa) \sim \chi \eta^{-1/3} \kappa^{-1}$. This case is virtually identical to that treated in Theorem 5.1, putting $\kappa_{2D} \sim \kappa_\eta$ since $\text{Sc} = \nu/\mu \sim 1$.




FIGURE 2. Expected tracer spectra for case of inverse cascade with moderate Schmidt number.

Note that by Proposition 4.1 the condition (5.4) could be replaced by the more natural, but stronger assumptions

$$\mathbf{e}_{\kappa, 2\kappa} \sim \eta^{2/3} \kappa^{-2} \quad \text{for } \bar{\kappa} \leq \kappa \lesssim \kappa_\eta, \quad (5.25)$$

$$\langle \|u_{\kappa_0, \bar{\kappa}}\|^2 \rangle \lesssim \langle \|u_{\bar{\kappa}, \infty}\|^2 \rangle \quad (5.26)$$

$$4\bar{\kappa} \leq \kappa_\eta \quad (5.27)$$

which are consistent with the discrete tracer spectrum (5.6b).

The main influence on the κ_θ estimate in Theorem 5.1 (with $\kappa_{2D} \sim \kappa_\eta$) is the assumption

$$\vartheta_{\kappa, 2\kappa} \sim \chi \eta^{1/3} \quad \text{for } \bar{\kappa} \leq \kappa \lesssim \kappa_\eta \sim \kappa_{2D}. \quad (5.28)$$

The following is partial converse.

Theorem 5.2. *If $\kappa_\theta \gtrsim \kappa_{2D}$, then $\vartheta_{\kappa, 2\kappa} \lesssim \chi \eta^{1/3}$.*

Proof. We can rewrite the assumption as

$$\kappa_\theta^2 = \frac{\langle |\nabla \theta|^2 \rangle}{\langle |\theta|^2 \rangle} \gtrsim \left(\frac{\eta}{\mu^3} \right)^{1/3} = \kappa_{2D}^2,$$

or as

$$\frac{\mu}{L^2} \langle |\nabla \theta|^2 \rangle \gtrsim \frac{\eta^{1/3}}{L^2} \langle |\theta|^2 \rangle,$$

so that

$$\chi \eta^{-1/3} \gtrsim \frac{1}{L^2} \langle |\theta|^2 \rangle \geq \frac{1}{L^2} \langle |\theta_{\kappa, 2\kappa}|^2 \rangle = \vartheta_{\kappa, 2\kappa}.$$

□

Theorem 5.1 (with $\kappa_{2D} \sim \kappa_\eta$) imposes a restriction on the ranges of the forcing terms and the Grashof number. The indicator κ_θ would achieve its maximum value, $\kappa_\eta \sim \kappa_{2D}$ (up to a log), if one could choose κ_g , κ , and $\bar{\kappa}$ in such a way that $a \sim b$. To investigate this, we seek r such that

$$a \leq c_0 \kappa_\eta^{-r} \kappa_0^{r-2} \ln \frac{\kappa_\eta}{\bar{\kappa}} \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{4}{3} \leq r \leq 2, \quad (5.29)$$

for some c_0 , which is equivalent to

$$\left(\frac{\kappa_\eta}{\kappa_0}\right)^{r-4/3} \left[\left(\frac{\kappa_g}{\kappa_0}\right)^{-2/3} - \left(\frac{\kappa}{\kappa_0}\right)^{-2/3} \right] \leq c_0 \left(\ln \frac{\kappa_\eta}{\bar{\kappa}}\right)^{4/3}. \quad (5.30)$$

We now derive a sufficient condition for (5.30). Rewriting the lower bound in (5.14) as

$$c_1 \left(\frac{\kappa_\eta}{\kappa_0}\right)^{5/4} \frac{G^{1/4}}{(\ln G)^{1/4}} \leq \frac{\kappa_\eta}{\bar{\kappa}}, \quad (5.31)$$

and the upper bound in (4.15) as

$$\frac{\kappa_\eta}{\kappa_0} \leq c_2 \left(\frac{\bar{\kappa}}{\kappa_0} G (\ln G)^{1/2}\right)^{1/4}, \quad (5.32)$$

we use (5.31) on the right and (5.32) on the left in (5.30), we obtain, with $p := (3r - 4)/12 \in [0, 1/6]$, $\zeta := \bar{\kappa}/\kappa_0$ and $c_3 = c_0 c_1 / c_2^p$,

$$\begin{aligned} [\zeta G (\ln G)^{1/2}]^p (1 - \zeta^{-2/3}) &\leq c_3 \ln(\zeta^{-5} G / \ln G)^{4/3} \\ \Leftrightarrow \quad \frac{1}{c_3} &\leq \frac{\ln(\zeta^{-5} G / \ln G)^{4/3}}{[\zeta G (\ln G)^{1/2}]^p (1 - \zeta^{-2/3})}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.33)$$

Putting $G = e^\zeta$, this in turn is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{c_3} \leq \frac{(\zeta - 6 \ln \zeta)^{4/3}}{\zeta^{3p/2} e^{p\zeta} (1 - \zeta^{-2/3})} =: \varphi_p(\zeta). \quad (5.34)$$




FIGURE 3. From bottom to top: $\varphi_{1/6}$, $\varphi_{1/9}$ and $\varphi_{1/12}$.

In figure 3 we plot $\varphi_{1/6}$, $\varphi_{1/9}$ and $\varphi_{1/12}$ against ζ . It is clear that, at least for these values of p , there is a range of $\zeta = \bar{\kappa}/\kappa_0$ such that (5.34), and thus (5.30), is satisfied, *provided* that c_3 is sufficiently large. (Since we are seeking a sufficient condition for (5.30), we can take c_3 smaller but not larger.) While a good estimate

for c_3 is not known, this plot suggests that even in the presence of a significant inverse cascade ($10 \lesssim \zeta \lesssim 20$) a wide tracer cascade range can be achieved,

$$\kappa_\theta^2 \sim \kappa_{2D}^r \kappa_0^{2-r} / \ln(\kappa_{2D}/\bar{\kappa}) \quad (5.35)$$

with $r = 2, 16/9$ and $5/3$ for $p = 1/6, 1/9$ and $1/12$ respectively, for large enough Grashof number ($G \sim e^\zeta$) to sustain turbulent fluid flow.

5.3. Effect of log corrected energy spectrum. In order to enforce constant enstrophy flux Kraichnan [12] proposed a log correction to the energy spectrum in the inertial range for 2D turbulence

$$\mathcal{E}(\kappa) \sim \eta^{2/3} \kappa^{-3} (\log \kappa / \kappa_i)^{-1/3},$$

which leads to a turnover time of

$$\tau_\kappa \sim \eta^{-1/3} (\log \kappa / \kappa_i)^{-1/3}. \quad (5.36)$$

This correction was shown in [14] to be consistent with an upper bound on the dimension of the global attractor in [3].

If (5.36) is used in (3.5), and the lower end of the inertial range is $\kappa_i = \bar{\kappa}$, the tracer spectrum takes the form

$$\mathcal{T}(\kappa) \sim \chi \eta^{-1/3} \kappa^{-1} (\log \kappa / \bar{\kappa})^{-1/3}, \quad \bar{\kappa} \leq \kappa \leq \kappa_\eta$$

and

$$\vartheta_{\kappa, 2\kappa} \sim \int_{\kappa}^{2\kappa} \mathcal{T}(s) ds \sim \chi \eta^{-1/3} \left[(\log 2\kappa / \bar{\kappa})^{2/3} - (\log \kappa / \bar{\kappa})^{2/3} \right].$$

Summing as in Lemma 3.1, the terms telescope, so that

$$\vartheta_{\bar{\kappa}, \kappa_\eta} \sim \frac{\chi}{\mu} \left(\frac{\mu^3}{\eta} \right)^{1/3} (\ln \kappa_\eta / \bar{\kappa})^{2/3} \sim \frac{\chi}{\mu \kappa_\eta^2} (\ln \kappa_\eta / \bar{\kappa})^{2/3}. \quad (5.37)$$

Using this instead of (5.11) in the proof of Theorem 5.1 yields

$$\kappa_\theta^2 \sim \frac{1}{a + b'}, \quad \text{where } b' = \kappa_\eta^{-2} (\ln \kappa_\eta / \bar{\kappa})^{2/3}.$$

We now seek r such that

$$a \lesssim \kappa_\eta^{-r} \kappa_0^{2-r} (\ln \frac{\kappa_\eta}{\bar{\kappa}})^{2/3}, \quad \text{where } \frac{4}{3} \leq r \leq 2,$$

which is equivalent to the analog of (5.30)


$$\left(\frac{\kappa_\eta}{\kappa_0} \right)^{r-4/3} \left[\left(\frac{\kappa_g}{\kappa_0} \right)^{-2/3} - \left(\frac{\kappa}{\kappa_0} \right)^{-2/3} \right] \lesssim \ln \frac{\kappa_\eta}{\bar{\kappa}}, \quad (5.38)$$

the only change being the power of the log on the right.

Proceeding as before, with $\zeta = \bar{\kappa}/\kappa_0$ and $p = (3r - 4)/12$, and putting $G = e^\zeta$, this is implied by

$$\frac{1}{c_4} \leq \frac{\zeta - 6 \ln \zeta}{\zeta^{3p/2} e^{p\zeta} (1 - \zeta^{-2/3})} =: \tilde{\varphi}_p(\zeta). \quad (5.39)$$

In figure 4 we plot $\tilde{\varphi}_{1/9}$, $\tilde{\varphi}_{1/12}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_{1/24}$ against ζ . Again, we need c_4 sufficiently large for (5.39) to hold.

FIGURE 4. From bottom to top: $\tilde{\varphi}_{1/9}$, $\tilde{\varphi}_{1/12}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_{1/24}$.

5.4. Tracer injection scales below energy injection scales. In case the injection scales are reversed, so that $\bar{\kappa} < \kappa_g$, then the analysis for both moderate and large Schmidt number proceeds as before, except the term a is dropped in both cases, so the conclusion is that $\kappa_\theta \sim \kappa_{2D}$ (up to a log).

6. 3D CASE

The large Schmidt number case is also interesting in 3D, as then we expect two ranges with distinct tracer spectra [16, p. 368]: For $\kappa \in (\bar{\kappa}, \kappa_\epsilon)$, we have the classical spectrum $\mathcal{T}(\kappa) \sim \kappa^{-5/3}$. For κ beyond κ_ϵ , substituting $\kappa = \kappa_\epsilon$ in (3.1) gives a turnover time of

$$\tau_{\kappa_\epsilon} = (\nu/\epsilon)^{1/2}. \quad (6.1)$$

Putting this equal to the diffusive time scale $(\mu\kappa^2)^{-1}$ then yields

$$\kappa_{3D} = \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\nu\mu^2}\right)^{1/4} = \text{Sc}^{1/2}\kappa_\epsilon \quad (6.2)$$

the wavenumber where diffusion becomes important. Using (6.1) in (3.5), and solving for $\mathcal{T}(\kappa)$ gives

$$\mathcal{T}(\kappa) \sim \chi \left(\frac{\nu}{\epsilon}\right)^{1/2} \kappa^{-1} \quad \text{for } \kappa_\epsilon \leq \kappa \leq \kappa_{3D}. \quad (6.3)$$

We have the following analogue of Theorem 5.1:

Theorem 6.1. *Suppose that (4.16) holds along with $4\kappa_g \leq \kappa_\epsilon$, $\text{Sc} > 2$,*

$$\langle |\theta|^2 \rangle \sim \langle |\theta_{\kappa_g, \kappa_{3D}}|^2 \rangle, \quad (6.4)$$

and

$$\vartheta_{\kappa, 2\kappa} \sim \begin{cases} \chi \epsilon^{-1/3} \kappa^{-2/3} & \text{for } \kappa_g \leq \kappa \leq \kappa_\epsilon \\ \chi (\nu/\epsilon)^{1/2} & \text{for } \kappa_\epsilon \leq \kappa \lesssim \kappa_{3D}. \end{cases}$$

We then have

$$\kappa_\theta^2 \sim \frac{1}{a+b} \quad (6.5)$$

where

$$a = \kappa_{3D}^{-4/3} \text{Sc}^{-1/3} (\kappa_g^{-2/3} - \kappa_\epsilon^{-2/3}) \quad \text{and} \quad b = \kappa_{3D}^{-2} \ln(\text{Sc}). \quad (6.6)$$

If, moreover, $\kappa_g \sim \kappa_0$ and $\underline{\kappa} \sim \bar{\kappa}$, along with

$$\text{Sc} \gtrsim G^{(3r-4)/(8-4r)}, \quad (6.7)$$

then

$$\kappa_\theta^2 \sim \kappa_{3D}^r \kappa_0^{2-r} / \ln(\kappa_{3D}/\kappa_\epsilon) \quad \text{for } 4/3 \leq r < 2. \quad (6.8)$$

Proof. As in the 2D case, we first compute

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_{\kappa_g, \kappa_\epsilon} &\sim \frac{\chi}{\mu} \left(\frac{\mu^3}{\epsilon} \right)^{1/3} (\kappa_g^{-2/3} - \kappa_\epsilon^{-2/3}) = \frac{\chi}{\mu} \kappa_{3D}^{-4/3} \text{Sc}^{-1/3} (\kappa_g^{-2/3} - \kappa_\epsilon^{-2/3}) \\ \vartheta_{\kappa_\epsilon, \kappa_{3D}} &\sim \frac{\chi}{\mu} \left(\frac{\nu \mu^2}{\epsilon} \right)^{1/2} \ln(\kappa_{3D}/\kappa_\epsilon) \sim \frac{\chi}{\mu} \kappa_{3D}^{-2} \ln(\text{Sc}). \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis, $\langle |\theta|^2 \rangle \sim \vartheta_{\kappa_g, \kappa_\epsilon} + \vartheta_{\kappa_\epsilon, \kappa_{3D}}$, giving us (6.5)

$$\kappa_\theta^2 = \frac{\chi}{\mu \vartheta_{\kappa_0, \infty}} = \frac{1}{a+b}. \quad (6.9)$$

For the second part of the theorem, we note that

$$a \lesssim \kappa_{2D}^{-r} \kappa_0^{r-2} \ln(\text{Sc})$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} (\kappa_{3D}/\kappa_0)^{r-4/3} [(\kappa_0/\kappa_g)^{2/3} - (\kappa_0/\bar{\kappa})^{2/3}] &\lesssim \text{Sc}^{1/3} \log(\text{Sc}) \\ \Leftrightarrow (\kappa_\epsilon/\kappa_0)^{r-4/3} &\lesssim \text{Sc}^{1-r/2} \log(\text{Sc}). \end{aligned}$$

Arguing as in the 2D case, we bound the lhs by the upper bound in (4.17) and using $\log(\text{Sc}) > 1$ on the rhs, this is implied by

$$G^{(3r-4)/8} \lesssim \text{Sc}^{1-r/2},$$

which gives us (6.8). \square

Remark 6.1. The decay rate of the energy spectrum in the $(\kappa_g, \kappa_\epsilon)$ -inertial range is not crucial here. It is the prefactor in the tracer spectrum that produces the helpful Schmidt number effect in the estimate in Theorem 6.1. In fact, we would achieve the same estimate for κ_θ if we consider a dimensionally correct energy spectrum with a different decay rate

$$\mathcal{E}_{3D}(\kappa) \sim \epsilon^{2/3} \kappa_0^{p-5/3} \kappa^{-p} \quad \text{for any } p \in (1, 3).$$

Note that this would violate Kolmogorov's assumption that \mathcal{E}_{3D} depend on only ϵ and κ , as it would now also depend on L . Nevertheless, an energy spectrum of this form would result in a tracer spectrum [16, (8.94)]

$$\mathcal{T}(\kappa) \sim \chi \epsilon^{-1/3} \kappa_0^q \kappa^{q'-1} \quad \text{with } q = (p-3)/2 \text{ and } q' = (5-3p)/6,$$

corresponding to a discrete dyadic tracer spectrum

$$\vartheta_{\kappa, 2\kappa} \sim \chi \epsilon^{-1/3} \kappa_0^{q'} \kappa^q \quad \text{for } \kappa_g \leq \kappa \leq \kappa_\epsilon.$$

Assuming again, that $\kappa_0 \sim \kappa_g \ll \kappa_\epsilon$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_{\kappa_g, \kappa_\epsilon} &\sim \chi \epsilon^{-1/3} \kappa_0^{q'} (\kappa_g^q - \kappa_\epsilon^q) \sim \chi \epsilon^{-1/3} \kappa_0^{-2/3} \\ &= \frac{\chi}{\mu} \left(\frac{\nu \mu^2}{\epsilon} \right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{\mu}{\nu} \right)^{1/3} \kappa_0^{-2/3} \\ &= \frac{\chi}{\mu} \kappa_{3D}^{-4/3} \text{Sc}^{-1/3} \kappa_0^{-2/3}. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the estimate for κ_θ follows as in the proof of Theorem 6.1.

6.1. Moderate Schmidt number case, 3D. If in 3D, $Sc \sim 1$, we have just the single, steeper tracer spectrum, and $\kappa_\theta^2 \sim 1/a$ with a as in Theorem 6.1. This can be expressed as $\kappa_\theta \sim \kappa_{3D}^{2/3} \kappa_0^{1/3}$, which gives the same fractional power for the tracer cascade range width as for the energy cascade in Proposition 4.2.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. K. BATCHELOR, *Small-scale variation of convected quantities like temperature in turbulent fluid*, J. Fluid Mech., 5 (1959), pp. 113–133.
- [2] P. CONSTANTIN AND C. FOIAS, *Navier-Stokes equations*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1988.
- [3] P. CONSTANTIN, C. FOIAS, AND R. TEMAM, *On the dimension of the attractors in two-dimensional turbulence*, Phys. D, 30 (1988), pp. 284–296.
- [4] S. CORRSIN, *On the spectrum of isotropic temperature fluctuations in an isotropic turbulence*, J. Appl. Phys., 22 (1951), pp. 469–473.
- [5] R. DASCALIUC, C. FOIAS, AND M. JOLLY, *Some specific mathematical constraints on 2-D turbulence*, Physica D, 237 (2008), pp. 3020–3029.
- [6] ———, *On the asymptotic behavior of average energy and enstrophy in 3d turbulent flows*, Physica D, 238 (2009), pp. 725–736.
- [7] P. A. DAVIDSON, *Turbulence: an introduction for scientists and engineers*, Oxford Univ. Press, 2 ed., 2015.
- [8] C. FOIAS, M. S. JOLLY, AND O. P. MANLEY, *Kraichnan turbulence via finite time averages*, Comm. Math. Phys., 255 (2005), pp. 329–361.
- [9] C. FOIAS, M. S. JOLLY, O. P. MANLEY, AND R. ROSA, *Statistical estimates for the Navier-Stokes equations and the Kraichnan theory of 2-D fully developed turbulence*, J. Statist. Phys., 108 (2002), pp. 591–645.
- [10] C. FOIAS, O. MANLEY, R. ROSA, AND R. TEMAM, *Navier-Stokes equations and turbulence*, vol. 83 of Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [11] C. FOIAS, O. P. MANLEY, AND R. TEMAM, *Bounds for the mean dissipation of 2-D enstrophy and 3-D energy in turbulent flows*, Phys. Lett. A, 174 (1993), pp. 210–215.
- [12] R. H. KRAICHNAN, *Inertial range transfer in two- and three-dimensional turbulence*, J. Fluid Mech., 47 (1971), pp. 525–535.
- [13] A. M. OBUKHOV, *Structure of the temperature field in turbulent flows*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, ser. Geogr. Geofiz., 13 (1949), pp. 58–63.
- [14] K. OHKITANI, *Log-corrected energy spectrum and dimension of attractor in two-dimensional turbulence*, Phys. Fluids A, 1 (1989), pp. 451–452.
- [15] R. TEMAM, *Infinite-dimensional dynamical systems in mechanics and physics*, vol. 68 of Applied Mathematical Sciences, Springer-Verlag, New York, second ed., 1997.
- [16] G. K. VALLIS, *Atmospheric and Oceanic Fluid Dynamics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIANA UNIVERSITY, BLOOMINGTON, IN 47405

† CORRESPONDING AUTHOR

²DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS SCIENCES, DURHAM UNIVERSITY, DURHAM U.K. DH1 3LE
E-mail address, M. S. Jolly: msjolly@indiana.edu
E-mail address, D. Wirosoetisno: djoko.wirosoetisno@durham.ac.uk